

Two New Books

Two new books on private international law have recently been published in Canada.

The first is a new textbook: Stephen G.A. Pitel & Nicholas S. Rafferty, *Conflict of Laws* (Toronto: Irwin Law Inc., 2010). Though I say it myself, for those in other countries this book should serve as a useful comparative reference to the Canadian law on the subject. More information is available [here](#).

The second is the third edition of the Canadian casebook in the area: Nicholas S. Rafferty, general editor, *Private International Law in Common Law Canada: Cases, Text, and Materials*, 3d ed. (Toronto: Emond Montgomery Publications Limited, 2010). There are seven contributors to the casebook: Professors Nicholas Rafferty, Joost Blom, Elizabeth Edinger, Genevieve Saumier, Stephen Pitel, Janet Walker and Catherine Walsh. More information is available [here](#).

New Book: Foreign Currency Claims in the Conflict of Laws

Hart Publishing has published the second title in its Studies in Private International Law series, *Foreign Currency Claims in the Conflict of Laws* by Professor Vaughan Black of the Schulich School of Law at Dalhousie University. More information is available [here](#).

The web page for the book advises us that “This book takes a comparative look at how common law courts have addressed damages claims when foreign currencies are involved, and at statutory responses to that issue. It describes the practices of UK, Commonwealth and American courts in this field and draws both on principles of private international law and of damages assessment to analyse current practice.”

My congratulations to my Canadian colleague.

Summer Academy on International Dispute Resolution

The Heidelberg Center for International Dispute Resolution in cooperation with the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the German Institution of Arbitration (DIS) will hold its 7th Summer Academy on International Dispute Resolution at the **University of Heidelberg, Germany**, from **16 to 19 June 2010**.

Under the guidance of renowned international speakers, the participants will immerse themselves in **Alternative Dispute Resolution** and **International Commercial Arbitration**. Course language will be English.

The Summer Academy includes a social program, featuring such events as a welcome reception, weather and number of participants permitting, a boat trip and a summer party. Thus, the participants can get in touch with the speakers and the organizers and enjoy the historic atmosphere of Heidelberg.

List of Speakers:

Christian **Duve** (Attorney at Law, Partner, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer) – Peter **Kraft** (Attorney at Law, DIS) – Herbert **Kronke** (Professor of Law, University of Heidelberg) – Patricia **Nacimiento** (Attorney at Law, Partner, White & Case) – Jan Heiner **Nedden** (Counsel, ICC International Court of Arbitration) – Dirk **Otto** (Attorney at Law, Partner, Norton Rose) – Michael **Polkinghorne** (Avocat au Barreau de Paris, Solicitor, Partner, White & Case) – Peter **Tochtermann** (Judge)

Further information on the program as well as a registration form can be found here.

Commission's Timetable for 2010-2014

The Commission has just published its Action Plan implementing the Stockholm Programme. It contains a timetable of the Commission's actions until 2014. Here are those regarding conflict issues (if I did not miss any): 

Legislative Proposals

2010

- Legislative Proposal for the revision of Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 on jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (Brussels I)
- Proposal for a Regulation on the conflicts of laws in matters concerning matrimonial property rights, including the question of jurisdiction and mutual recognition, and for Regulation on the property consequences of the separation of couples from other types of unions
- Proposal for a Regulation on improving the efficiency of the enforcement of judgements in the European Union: the attachment of bank accounts

2011

- Proposal for a Regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility, including establishment of common minimum standards in relation to the recognition of decisions on parental responsibility, following a report on its application (2011-2013)
- Regulation on limitation periods on cross border road traffic accidents

2012

- Proposal for Regulation amending Regulation (EC) No 1346/2000 on insolvency proceedings, following a report on its application (2012-2013)

2013

- Legislative proposal on mutual recognition of the effects of certain civil status documents (e.g. relating to birth, affiliation, adoption, name)
- Proposal for a Regulation on improving the efficiency of the enforcement of judgements in the European Union: transparency of debtor's assets
- Legislative proposal for dispensing with the formalities for the legalisation of documents between the Member States

2014

- Legislative proposal aimed at improving the consistency of existing Union legislation in the field of civil procedural law

Green Papers and Reports

2010

- Green paper on the free circulation of the documents: civil status documents, authentic acts and the simplification of legalisation
- Report on the assignment of claims under Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 on the law applicable to contractual relations (Rome I)

2011

- Report on application of Regulation (EC) No 1393/2007 on service of documents in civil and commercial matters, if necessary followed by a proposal for revision which could include the establishment of common minimum standards (2011-2012)
- Report on the application of Regulation (EC) No 805/2008 on the European Enforcement Order for uncontested claims

2012

- Report on application of Regulation (EC) No 1206/2001 on the taking of evidence in civil and commercial matters, if necessary followed by a proposal for revision which could include the establishment of common minimum standards (2012-2013)
- Report on the application of Regulation (EC) No 804/2007 on the applicable law on noncontractual obligations (Rome II)

- Report on the functioning of the present EU regime on civil procedural law across borders

2013

- Report on application of Regulation (EC) No 861/2007 establishing a European Small Claims Procedure
- Report on application of Regulation (EC) No 1896/2006 creating a European order for payment procedure
- Report on the applicable law on insurance contracts under Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 on the law applicable to contractual relations (Rome I)
- Green paper on the minimum standards for civil procedures and necessary follow up

2014

- Report on the application of the 2000 Hague Convention on the International Protection of Adults, assessing also the need for additional proposals as regards vulnerable adults
- Green paper on private international law aspects, including applicable law, relating to companies, associations and other legal persons

The Action Plan also provides for other acts such as Practice Guides, Fact Sheets and Compendia, some of which deal with conflict issues.

European Commission Plan for 2010-2014

The European Commission has published yesterday its plan to deliver justice, freedom and security to citizens in the next four years.

Here are 3 of the 10 concrete actions included in the plan which will be of interest for readers of this blog:

4. More legal certainty for international marriages

Following an EU proposal to allow international couples to choose which country's law applies to their divorce (IP/10/347, MEMO/10/100), the Commission will make a similar proposal this year on which law will apply when it comes to the division of couples' property during these proceedings (legislative proposal, 2010).

5. Less administrative burdens for citizens

Europeans who want to get married, adopt a child or change their civil status should not face additional administrative burdens if they are outside their home country. For example, a Finnish woman who falls in love with a man from the UK would have to submit a certificate of no impediment from the UK to get married. The UK does not provide such documents. To avoid these kinds of situations, the Commission will propose a law for the mutual recognition of certain civil status documents (legislative proposal, 2013).

6. Helping businesses to operate cross-border

If companies are to invest and operate cross-border, they need to have trust in Europe's Single Market – especially in today's economic context. At present, companies only recover 37% of cross-border debts while more than 60% of cross-border debts cannot be enforced. To address this problem and stimulate the incentive to do business cross-border, the Commission will propose legislation on a European “attachment” of bank accounts. This measure will ensure that money that is owed does not disappear (legislative proposal, 2010).

Legal certainty is crucial for motivating businesses to do commerce across borders. If you know the rules of the country where you would like to do business, you will be much more willing to offer your services/goods rather than studying different 27 regimes. These 27 contractual regimes will remain. The Commission is preparing an additional and optional contract law instrument – something similar to the US Uniform Commercial Code. Companies could then choose to apply this instrument to their contractual relations – no matter in which EU country they have their business (Communication, 2010).

The full text of the Communication of the Commission can be found [here](#).

Thanks to Lea Salvini for the tip-off

Gaudemet-Tallon on Jurisdiction and Judgments

The much awaited fourth edition of Professor Gaudemet-Tallon's  authoritative work on the European law of jurisdiction and judgments has just been published.

It is the leading French text on the topic. It only deals with civil and commercial matters, i.e. the Brussels I Regulation, the 1968 Brussels Convention, and the two Lugano Conventions.

The abstract and the table of contents can be found [here](#).

Borchers on Punitive Damages

Patrick J. Borchers, who is the Dean of Creighton University School of Law, has posted *Punitive Damages, Forum Shopping and the Conflict of Laws* on SSRN. The abstract reads:

Few issues have as profound an impact on civil litigation as the availability and dimensions of punitive damages. States, however, vary considerably on whether punitive damages are allowed, the quantum and burden of proof necessary to establish liability for them, their insurability and the standard of appellate review of their award. Because of the high stakes involved, all three of the traditional branches of the discipline of the conflict of laws — jurisdiction, choice of law and judgment recognition — are directly involved. Civil plaintiffs naturally seek to find courts that will be hospitable to their attempted assertion

of punitive damage liability and civil defendants are equally anxious to avoid such courts. The practice of attempting to find a friendly court is known colloquially as “forum shopping.” This article examines how the branches of the conflict of laws are implicated in this high stakes battle and also examines what implications the Supreme Court’s decision in State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408 (2003) has for conflicts issues in the punitive damage wars.

The paper, which is forthcoming in the *Louisiana Law Review*, can be downloaded [here](#).

EU Ratifies 2007 Hague Protocol

The Hague Conference reports that the European Union has signed and ratified the Hague Protocol of 23 November 2007 on the Law Applicable to Maintenance Obligations on April 8th.

The EU is the first member to ratify. Article 25 of the Protocol provides that two ratifications are necessary for the Protocol to enter into force.

ERA Conference on Cross-Border Insolvency

On May 20-21, 2010, the Academy of European Law will host a conference on  Cross-Border Insolvency Proceedings in the EU in Trier.

*The **objective** of this conference is to meet the requirements of insolvency lawyers to stay informed on the latest developments in legislation,*

jurisprudence and best practice in this field.

Key topics include:

- jurisdiction, recognition and enforcement under the European Insolvency Regulation;*
- scope of the lex concursus;*
- effects of insolvency on cross-border security;*
- international asset tracing;*
- preventive measures;*
- banking crisis and insolvency;*
- EU Framework for cross-border crisis management in the banking sector.*

Target group is primarily lawyers practising in the field of insolvency law

Announced speakers are **Professor Avv Stefania Bariatti**, University of Milan; Partner, Chiomenti Studio Legale, Milan; **Dr Reinhard Dammann**, Partner, Clifford Chance, Paris; **Mr Jens Haubold**, Partner, Thümmel, Schütze & Partner, Stuttgart; **Ms Jennifer Marshall**, Partner, Allen & Overy, London; **Professor Michel Menjucq**, Cabinet Lexia, University of Paris I Panthéon-Sorbonne; **Mr Gabriel Moss QC**, Barrister, 3-4 South Square, Gray's Inn, London; **Professor Christoph G Paulus**, Dean of the Law Faculty, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin; **Ms Georgina Peters**, Barrister, 3-4 South Square, Gray's Inn, London; **Ms Ruth Walters**, Banking and Financial Conglomerates Unit, DG Internal Market and Services, European Commission, Brussels

More information can be found [here](#).

Childress on Comity as Conflict

Trey Childress, who teaches at Pepperdine University School of Law, has posted *Comity as Conflict: Resituating Comity as Conflict of Laws* on SSRN. Here is the abstract:

This Article seeks to resituate international comity as a conflict of laws doctrine. Comity is important to United States courts in transnational cases and its importance will continue to grow as more international issues creep into domestic litigation. Recognizing this, the Article evaluates the recent invocation of the comity doctrine in the In re South African Apartheid Litigation, filed for alleged violations of the Alien Tort Statute and currently pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. By evaluating that case and others, the Article shows that courts use the comity doctrine in many circumstances without considering its historical position as a conflict of laws doctrine. In so doing, courts gloss over the doctrine's foundation in conflicts jurisprudence, and thus give short shrift to the doctrine's main historical purpose, which was to mediate the conflict between sovereigns and their laws. This non-conflicts approach leads courts to give only cursory consideration to governmental interests and obscures the ultimate question in transnational cases where a conflict of sovereign power is presented: Is there a conflict between sovereigns that counsels in favor of judicial deference through comity? Resituating comity within the conflict of laws tradition provides a more principled basis for applying the doctrine by bringing sovereign interests to light. Applying comity in this way also emerges the complex political and international concerns at stake in many transnational cases.

The paper is forthcoming in the *University of California - Davies Law Review*. It can be downloaded [here](#).