

ERA conference on cross-border successions in the EU

The forthcoming ERA conference on cross-border successions is designed to cover the recent developments in the drafting and negotiating the Proposal for a Regulation on jurisdiction, applicable law, recognition and enforcement of decisions and authentic instruments in matters of succession and the creation of a European Certificate of Succession. There are interesting topics which arise out of the differences between the national legal conceptions, such as the issues of clawback and the international competence of courts or non-judicial authorities, including notaries. The automatic recognition of the proposed European Certificate of Succession seems to be equally worthy of debate.

The speakers at the conference are:

Ms Mari Aalto, Legal Officer, DG Justice, Freedom and Security, European Commission, Brussels

Professor Andrea Bonomi, University of Lausanne

Dr Anatol Dutta, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Hamburg

Professor Sjef van Erp, University of Maastricht

Mr Rafael Gil Nievas, Permanent Representation of Spain to the EU, Brussels

Professor Jonathan Harris, Barrister, Serle Court, London; University of Birmingham

Mr Christian Hertel, Notary, Weilheim

Dr Marius Kohler, Director, Federal Chamber of German Civil Notaries, Brussels

Mr Kurt Lechner, MEP, European Parliament, Brussels/Strasbourg

Mr Hugues Letellier, Managing Partner, Hohl & Associés, Paris

Professor Paul Matthews, Consultant, Withers LLP; King's College, London

Ms Michaela Navrátilová, JUDr Zdeněk Hromádka Law Firm, Zlín

Ms Salla Saastamoinen, Head of Unit, Civil Justice, DG Justice, Freedom and Security, European Commission, Brussels.

The conference is scheduled for 18 and 19 February 2010 and will take place at the ERA Congress Centre in Trier, Germany. Detailed information on the

conference is available [here](#), and the registration details [here](#).

18th International Congress of Comparative Law: Washington D.C.

On July 25 through August 1, 2010, the 18th International Congress of Comparative Law will be held at the Ritz-Carlton Hotel in Washington D.C. Sponsored by the International Academy of Comparative Law and the American Society of Comparative Law, it will be jointly hosted by American University Washington College of Law, George Washington University Law School and Georgetown Law Center. The topics of this year's Congress include:

I. A. Legal history and ethnology

Legal culture and legal transplants

I. B. General legal theory

Religion and the secular state

I. C. Comparative law and unification of laws

Complexity of transnational sources

I. D. Legal education

The role of practice in legal education

II. A. Civil law

Catastrophic damages-liability and insurance

Surrogate motherhood

Same-sex marriages

II. B. Private international law

Consumer protection in international transactions

Recent private international law codifications

II. C. Civil procedure

Cost and fee allocation rules

Collective actions

II. D. Agrarian and environmental law

Climate change and the law

III. A. Commercial law

The regulation of private equity, hedge funds and state funds

Harmonization of finance leases by UNIDROIT

Corporate governance

Insurance contract law between business law and consumer protection

III. B. Intellectual property law

The balance of copyright in comparative perspective

Jurisdiction and applicable law in intellectual property

III. C. Labour law

The prohibition of discrimination in labour relations (age discrimination)

III. D. Air and maritime law

The law applicable on the continental shelf and in the exclusive economic zone

IV. A. Public international law

The protection of foreign investment

International law in domestic systems: a comparative approach

IV. B. Constitutional law

Foreign voters

Constitutional courts as “Positive Legislators”

IV. C. Public freedoms and human rights

Plurality of political opinions and the concentration of media

Are human rights universal and binding? Limits of universalism

IV. D. Administrative law

Public-private partnerships

IV. E. Tax law

Regulation of corporate tax avoidance

V. A. Penal law

Corporate criminal liability

V. B. Criminal procedure

The exclusionary rule

VI. Computers

Internet crimes

There will also be Special Sessions dedicated to law and development, torture and cultural relativism, comparative perspectives on the role of transparency in administration of law, protection of privacy from the media, comparative family law, comparative constitutional law, and comparative and international government procurement law. Sessions dedicated to regional studies will include a “Panel on Africa: Comparative Private Law and Transitional Social Justice,” a “Panel on Latin America: Comparative Legal Interpretation,” and a “Panel on the Middle East: Islamic Finance and Banking in Comparative Perspective.”

Registration information is available [here](#), and a detailed agenda is available [here](#). Note that early-bird registration ends on January 30. Updates to the agenda and schedule will follow on this site.

Publication - Electronic Consumer Contracts in the Conflict of Laws

Hart Publishing has kicked off its new Studies in Private International Law series with Zheng Sophia Tang’s excellent *Electronic Consumer Contracts in the Conflict of Laws* (2009). It is based upon Sophia’s PhD thesis, completed at the University of Birmingham in 2007. The blurb:

The application of private international law to electronic consumer contracts raises new, complex, and controversial questions. It is new because consumer protection was not a private international law concern until very recently and e-

commerce only became an important commercial activity within the last ten years. E-consumer contracts generate original questions which have not been considered under traditional private international law theories. It is complex because it has to deal both with difficulties raised by consumer contracts and the challenges of e-commerce. Reasonable resolutions to consumer contracts may prove inappropriate in e-commerce, while effective approaches to resolving private international law problems in e-commerce may be improper for consumer contracts. It is controversial because it concerns the conflicting interests of consumers and businesses in a fast-moving commercial environment – a fair balance is therefore hard to achieve.

Without proper solutions provided by private international law, consumers will not be confident about purchasing online, and businesses will face unreasonable risk and participation costs in e-commerce. Updated and properly designed private international law rules are essential to the further development of e-commerce. This book aims to provide an answer to the urgent requirement for legal certainty, security and justice in e-consumer contracts. It is primarily concerned with existing approaches to jurisdiction and choice of law issues in e-consumer contracts in the European Community and England, but some typical approaches in other jurisdictions are also examined. Based on the analysis and the comparative study of the existing law, the book seeks to provide a proposal as to what the law should be in order to provide certainty to both parties, to provide reasonable protection to consumers, and to promote the development of e-commerce.

You can purchase it from Hart Publishing for £50.00, or from Amazon for £47.50.

Publication – Resolving International Conflicts


Peter Hay (Emory Univ. – Law), **Lajos Vékás** (ELTE – Law), **Yehuda Elkana** (Central European Univ.), & **Nenad Dimitrijevic** (Central European Univ. –

Political Science) have published *Resolving International Conflicts: Liber Amicorum Tibor Várady* (Central European Univ. Press 2009). The contents:

- John J. Barceló III, Expanded judicial review of awards after Hall Street and in comparative perspective
- David J. Bederman, Tibor Várady's advocacy before the international court of justice
- Peter Behrens, From "real seat" to "legal seat": Germany's private international company law revolution
- László Burián, The impact of community law on the determination of the personal law of companies
- Richard M. Buxbaum, Public law, Ordre public and arbitration: a procedural scenario and a suggestion
- Richard D. Freer, Forging American arbitration policy: judicial interpretation of the Federal Arbitration Act
- Guy Haarscher, The decline of free thinking
- Attila Harmathy, Questions of arbitration and the case law of the European court of justice
- Peter Hay, Recognition of a recognition judgment within the European Union: "double exequatur" and the public policy barrier
- László Kecskés, European Union legislation and private international law: a view from Hungary
- János Kis, Constitutional democracy: outline of a defense
- Ferenc Mádl, The European dream and its evolution in the architecture of the treaties of integration
- Vladimir Pavić, 'Non-signatories' and the long arm of arbitral jurisdiction
- Hans-Eric Rasmussen-Bonne, The pendulum swings back: the cooperative approach of German courts to international service of process
- Kurt Siehr, Internationale schiedsgerichtsbarkeit über kulturgutstreitigkeiten
- Lajos Vékás, About the Rome II regulation: the European unification of the conflict rules to torts
- Johan D. van der Vyver, The United States and the jurisprudence of international tribunals

I cannot find the book on the CEU Press website, but here's a link to it on Amazon, where it is £30.35.

Publication: Intellectual Property and Private International Law


Happy New Year to everybody. I have not posted in a while, but am now  freed from the shackles of teaching (well, mostly) for this year, and so can devote myself to conflictolaws.net once again (not, I'm sure, that anyone noticed my absence, given the dedication of my co-editors).

In any event, a few new publications dropped into my pigeon-hole in late 2009, and here's the first: Intellectual Property and Private International Law, edited by Stefan Leible and Angsar Ohly (Mohr Siebeck, 2009). The blurb:

The relationship between intellectual property law and private international law has not always been an easy one. To many intellectual property lawyers, private international law seems like an esoteric and complicated field of law with many potential pitfalls. Hence there is a tendency to look for simple, straightforward rules such as the principle of territoriality and the lex loci protectionis rule and to solve more complex issues such as the collision of signs on the internet within substantive law. Private international lawyers, on the other hand, resent the territorial segmentation which results from the application of both principles. The fact that both fields of law are specialist matters, difficult to penetrate for outsiders, has complicated the discourse between both legal disciplines. Nevertheless there is a growing awareness that choice of law issues in this field really matter. The importance of intellectual property rights in a knowledge-based economy is increasing steadily. At the same time, the traditional principles governing the choice of law in intellectual property disputes have come under challenge in a globalized world dominated by internet communication. Eminent American und European scholars of both fields discussed different topics concerning the relationship between intellectual property law and private international law at the Bayreuth Conference "Intellectual Property and Private International Law" (4/5 April 2008). This volume comprises the papers which were presented.

ISBN 978-3-16-150055-8. Price: € 59.00. Purchase it direct from the Mohr website.

French Conference on Breach of Jurisdiction Agreements

The Master of arbitration and international commercial law of the university of Versailles Saint-Quentin will organize a conference on January 19th on *Damages for Breach of Jurisdiction and Arbitration Agreement*. 

The speaker will be professor Koji Takahashi, from Doshisha University (Kyoto, Japan). Prof. Takahashi has published several articles on the topic, both in Japanese and in English. In particular, he has published an article on Damages for Breach of Choice of Court Agreements at the 2008 Yearbook of Private Int'l Law.

The conference will begin at 5 pm and will be held in English. It is free of charge.

Details can be obtained from Ms Chantal Bionne, Tél. : 01 39 25 52 55 ou courriel: chantal.bionne@uvsq.fr

Approach to Jurisdiction under the CJPTA

The British Columbia Court of Appeal's decision in *Stanway v. Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc.*, 2009 BCCA 592 (available [here](#)) is an important contribution to the developing Canadian jurisprudence on the Civil Jurisdiction and Proceedings Transfer Act, a statute governing the taking of jurisdiction that has been adopted in several provinces.

A leading common law approach to the question of whether there is a real and substantial connection between a dispute and the forum (the test for jurisdiction) is that outlined in the Court of Appeal for Ontario's decision in *Muscutt v. Courcelles* (available [here](#)). There is an ongoing controversy about the extent to which that approach has any relevance after a province has adopted the CJPTA. This is because the statute sets out an open-ended list of situations in which a real and substantial connection is presumed to exist (s. 10). However, it remains open to a plaintiff (under s. 3) to otherwise establish such a connection, and on one view the approach in *Muscutt* is relevant to that analysis. See in Nova Scotia the decision in *Bouch v. Penny* (available [here](#)).

In *Stanway* the court expresses considerable hostility towards the *Muscutt* approach. It references academic and judicial criticism of the decision, while selectively omitting any reference to the competing academic and judicial support for it. It makes clear that it has no application in cases that are caught by s. 10. It does not indicate what should happen in cases outside that section, but the overall tone suggests that it would not welcome using *Muscutt* in such cases.

My own view is that the *Muscutt* analysis should remain relevant to cases that are not caught by the statutory presumptions – cases which the statute has deliberately chosen to leave governed by the open-ended language of the real and substantial connection test.

Some might find it interesting that despite the difference in analysis between the appellate court and the motions court judge in *Stanway*, this is one of many cases where the two competing analyses reach the same conclusion (here that the court of British Columbia has jurisdiction).

The approach in *Muscutt* is the dominant one in Ontario, which has not enacted the CJPTA. However, last October the Court of Appeal for Ontario heard submissions about whether that approach should be modified. The decision in those appeals is eagerly awaited.

Private International Law Dispute before the ICJ (Belgium v. Switzerland on the Interpretation and Application of the Lugano Convention)

The increasing intertwining between private international law and public international law has been once again and very recently proved. The International Court of Justice will indeed be the theatre of a promising interesting debate between Belgium and Switzerland in respect of the Lugano Convention.

On 21 December 2009, Belgium initiated proceedings against Switzerland in respect of a dispute concerning the interpretation and application of the Lugano Convention on jurisdiction and the enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (see the Press Release).

The dispute has arisen out of the pursuit of parallel judicial proceedings in Belgium and Switzerland concerning the alleged misconduct of the Swiss shareholders in Sabena, the former Belgian airline now in bankruptcy. The Swiss shareholders SAirgroup (formerly Swissair) and its subsidiary SAirLines, also now in bankruptcy, and the Belgian shareholders (the Belgian State and three companies directly or indirectly held by the Belgian State) in Sabena entered into different contracts between 1995 and 2001 for among other things the financing and joint management of Sabena. These contracts provided for the exclusive jurisdiction of the Brussels courts and for the application of Belgian Law.

Proceedings were first initiated by the Belgian Shareholders before the Brussels courts for contractual liability and tort. The Brussels Court found its jurisdiction on the basis of art. 17 and 5(3) of the Lugano Convention but rejected the claims for damages brought by the Belgian shareholders. The Court of Appeal of Brussels by a partial judgment upheld the Belgian court's jurisdiction over the dispute. The proceedings on the merits are still pending before that court.

In the mean time, the Swiss shareholders (Swissair and its subsidiary) submitted

to the Zurich courts an application for a debt-restructuring moratorium, which ended in the bankruptcy of the Swiss shareholders. The Belgian shareholders sought to declare their debt claims (whose existence and amount depended on the proceedings before the Brussels court) against them in these proceedings.

In a decision rendered on 30 September 2008, the Swiss Federal Court rejected the application of the Lugano Convention on this matter and declined to stay its proceedings on the basis that the Swiss courts had exclusive jurisdiction because of the territoriality principle and the procedural nature of the dispute. According to Belgium, the refusal by the Swiss Courts and more particularly the Federal Supreme Court to apply the Lugano Convention and consequently the refusal to recognize the future Belgian decision and to stay their proceedings, violate various provisions of the Lugano Convention and “the rules of general international law that govern the exercise of State authority, in particular in the judicial domain”.

It is worth noticing that according to Belgium, the Lugano convention does not provide for a dispute settlement mechanism and the standing committee established by the protocol 2 on the uniform interpretation of the convention does not have jurisdiction in this matter. In its application (§48), Belgium submits also that the European Court of Justice does not have jurisdiction since the “new Lugano Convention”, for which the European commission has exclusive jurisdiction, is not applicable.

Swiss Institute of Comparative Law: Conference on the EU's Proposal on Succession

✖ On Friday, 19th March 2010, the **22nd *Journée de droit international privé***, organised by the **Swiss Institute of Comparative Law (ISDC)** and the **University of Lausanne** (Center of Comparative Law, European Law and

Foreign Legislations), will analyse the **Commission's Proposal on Succession**: "Droit international privé des successions – quel futur en Europe et en Suisse?".

The list of confirmed speakers includes *Prof. Andrea Bonomi* (Univ. of Lausanne), *Prof. Paul Lagarde* (Univ. of Paris I – Sorbonne) and *Prof. Oliver Remien* (Univ. of Würzburg). A detailed programme and further information will be posted as soon as available.

Publication: Reithmann/Martiny: Internationales Vertragsrecht

The 7th edition of the work

Internationales Vertragsrecht



edited by *Christoph Reithmann* and *Dieter Martiny*

has recently been published.

The new edition of this well-established book includes in particular the new Rome I Regulation (Regulation (EC) No. 593/2008) and the consequences resulting from the transformation of the Rome Convention into a Community Regulation and encompasses all relevant types of cross-border contracts.

The work is structured into seven major parts:

The **first part** deals with the determination of the law governing the contract. Here, the process of the unification of law is described, taking into account in particular the Rome I Regulation, i. e. its historical background – and therefore also the Rome Convention – its scope of application, its relationship to other Community instruments as well as existing international conventions and its different choice of law rules. Further, this first part contains practical advice for the drafting of contracts.

The **second part** of the book is dedicated to the scope of the law governing the contract as for instance consent, material validity, the interpretation of contracts, the content of contracts, defective performance, burden of proof, limitation of actions, voluntary assignment, subrogation, multiple liability and the transfer of obligations.

The **third part** deals with non-contractual obligations and culpa in contrahendo and therefore refers to the Rome II Regulation: In particular, the book addresses the question of freedom of choice (Art. 14 Rome II) and the basic principles which are common to unjustified enrichment and negotiorum gestio such as accessorial connection, common habitual residence and manifest closer connection. Further, the law applicable to unjust enrichment, negotiorum gestio and culpa in contrahendo under the Rome II Regulation is described as well as its scope (Art. 15 Rome II). In addition, this part covers also subrogation (Art. 19 Rome II) and multiple liability (Art. 20 Rome II).

The **fourth part** concerns overriding mandatory provisions (Art. 9 Rome I). Here, the first chapter is dedicated to the historical background of Art. 9 and gives an overview of this rule. The second chapter deals with the application of Art. 9 and therefore in particular with its scope, its (restrictive) interpretation and its effects. The third chapter addresses overriding mandatory provisions of the law of the forum (Art. 9 (2) Rome I), while the fourth chapter deals with mandatory provisions of the law of the country where the obligations arising out of the contract have to be or have been performed (Art. 9 (3) Rome I). The fifth and the sixth chapter are dedicated to foreign currency and to formalities.

The **fifth part**, constituting the main part of the work, is dedicated to the different types of contracts: contracts of sale (including CISG); different types of contracts on the provision of services such as for instance contracts for work and services, leasing, guarantees, loans and brokerage agreements; further contracts on immovable property (here in particular the sale of land and ground lease); contracts on intellectual property; franchise contracts; commercial agency contracts and distribution agreements; contracts concerning the financial market; contracts of carriage; consumer contracts; transactions such as share and asset deals and joint ventures; insurance contracts and employment contracts.

The **sixth part** deals with questions of agency and power of disposal. Therefore, the book contains inter alia chapters on the law applicable to agency, the power

of disposition of insolvency administrators as well as different kinds of restrictions of the power of disposal.

The **seventh** and last **part** of the book covers choice of court as well as arbitration agreements.

More information on this book can be found on the publisher's website, where it can be ordered as well.