

# Orejudo on the Law Applicable to Mediation Contracts

Patricia Orejudo Prieto de los Mozos, who is a professor of private international law at the University of Oviedo (Spain), has posted *The Law Applicable to International Mediation Contracts* on SSRN. The abstract reads:

*Mediation entails the provision of the services of a professional, the mediator, who holds a legal relationship with the disputants: the mediation contract. Where there are transnational elements in the mediation process, the contract is of an international character. In such situation, the Laws of the diverse States involved could claim to be applicable to the same contract. The determination of the (only) Law applicable is of utmost interest in spite of the high degree of standardization of the obligations of both parties in the mediation contract. First, for such *lex contractus* establishes the limits of the freedom of the contracting parties. And second, for there are important matters that the parties do not usually tackle within the wording of mediation contracts and that model rules and standards do not either regulate. The present paper aims at illustrating about the functioning of the present and the future instruments of Private International Law that solve the conflict-of-laws issue: Rome Convention and Rome I Regulation.*

The paper is forthcoming in *InDret* 2011.

---

## ERA Conference on Brussels I Revision

A conference organized by the European Law Academy (ERA) on the recast of the Brussels I Regulation will take place in Trier (Germany) on 26 and 27 May 2011. Renowned speakers will discuss the main issues of the revision: abolition of

exequatur, provisional and protective measures, disputes involving third country defendants, efficiency of choice of court agreements, and the interface between litigation and arbitration.

The conference aims to provide an in-depth analysis of the recast and to promote a far-reaching and thorough debate concerning the most important or complex issues inherent to cross-border litigation in Europe.

For more information and registration click [here](#).

---

## **Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional, Issue 1/2011**

The first issue for 2011 of the Cuadernos de Derecho Transnacional, the Spanish journal published twice a year by the Área de Derecho Internacional Privado of Univ. Carlos III of Madrid under the editorship of *Alfonso Luis Calvo-Caravaca* (Univ. Carlos III) and *Javier Carrascosa-González* (Univ. of Murcia), has been recently published. It contains twenty articles, shorter articles and casenotes, encompassing a wide range of topics in conflict of laws, conflict of jurisdictions and uniform law, all freely available for download from the journal's website.



Here's the table of contents (each contribution is accompanied by an abstract in English):

### **Estudios**

- *Dário Moura Vicente*, Principios sobre conflictos de leyes en materia de Propiedad Intelectual;
- *Hilda Aguilar Grieder*, El impacto del Reglamento «Roma I» en el contrato internacional de agencia;
- *Celia Caamiña Domínguez*, El secuestro internacional de menores: soluciones entre España y Marruecos;
- *Celia Caamiña Domínguez*, La «supresión» del exequátur en el R 2201/2003;
- *Sergio Cámara Lapuente*, El concepto legal de «consumidor» en el Derecho privado europeo y en el Derecho español: aspectos controvertidos o no resueltos;
- *Maria Ersilia Corrao*, Il diritto internazionale privato e processuale europeo in materia di obbligazioni alimentari;
- *Pietro Franzina*, La garanzia dell' osservanza delle norme sulla competenza giurisdizionale nella proposta di revisione del Regolamento «Bruxelles I»;
- *Miguel Gómez Jene*, Inmunidad y transacciones mercantiles internacionales;
- *Aurora Hernández Rodríguez*, El contrato de transporte aéreo de pasajeros: algunas consideraciones sobre competencia judicial internacional y Derecho aplicable;
- *Mónica Herranz Ballesteros*, Prohibiciones y limitaciones del artículo 4 de la Ley 54/2007: entre los objetivos de la norma y la realidad en su aplicación;
- *Stefan Leible*, La importancia de la autonomía conflictual para el futuro del Derecho de los contratos internacionales;
- *Clelia Pesce*, Sottrazione internazionale di minori nell'Unione Europea: il coordinamento tra il Regolamento (CE) n. 2201/2003 e la Convenzione dell'Aja del 1980.

## Varia

- *Alfonso-Luis Calvo Caravaca, Javier Carrascosa González*, Notas críticas en torno a la Instrucción de la Dirección General de los Registros y del Notariado de 5 octubre 2010 sobre régimen registral de la filiación de los nacidos mediante gestación por sustitución;
- *María Pilar Canedo Arrillaga*, Notas breves sobre la sentencia del TJUE

(Sala Cuarta) de 25 febrero 2010 (Car Trim: asunto C-381/08): los contratos de compraventa y los contratos de prestación de servicios en el Reglamento 44/2001;

- *Federico F. Garau Sobrino*, La literalidad interpretada desde la coherencia del sistema. Las relaciones entre el Reglamento Bruselas I y los convenios sobre materias particulares según el TJUE;
- *Federico F. Garau Sobrino*, Notas sobre la colisión de fuentes de Derecho internacional privado español sobre responsabilidad parental y protección del niño;
- *Natividad Goñi Urriza*, La concreción del lugar donde se ha producido el hecho dañoso en el art. 5.3 del Reglamento 44/2001: nota a la STJCE de 16 de julio de 2009;
- *Carlos Andrés Hécker Padilla*, Denial of justice to foreign investors;
- *Aurora Hernández Rodríguez*, El Derecho aplicable al contrato en ausencia de elección por las partes: el asunto Intercontainer Interfrigo y su repercusión en el Reglamento Roma I;
- *Julia Suderow*, Nota sobre la sentencia del TJCE Akzo Nobel y otros de 14 de septiembre de 2010: límites al privilegio legal de las comunicaciones entre abogados y sus clientes.

See also our previous posts on past issues of the CDT (1/2009, 2/2009 and 2/2010). The journal's website provides a very useful search function, by which contents can be browsed by issue of publication, author, title, keywords, abstract and fulltext.

*(Many thanks to Federico Garau, Conflictus Legum blog, for the tip-off)*

---

## **Promoting a Spanish Law on International Civil Cooperation**

In 2000, the Spanish Civil Procedure Act stated that within six months after its entry into force the Government would propose a draft law on international

cooperation. Many years have elapsed, and the law, obviously needed, has not yet seen the light. The last Foro de Arbitraje y Litigación Internacional, celebrated in FIDE (Fundación para la Investigación sobre el Derecho y la Empresa) in February, addressed the issue, having F.J. Garcimartín Alférez (professor of private international law) and A. Mejía Errasquín (General Director for International Legal Cooperation and Relations with the Confessions of the Ministry of Justice) as speakers. Numerous references were made to a draft prepared in 2001 by M. Virgos Soriano, professor of private international law, where all the important issues of a future law on international cooperation, especially exequatur, are dealt with. For those interested in this topic here is a summary of the meeting.

*Many thanks to Carlos Espósito, of aquiescencia.*

---

## **Swiss Conference on the Brussels I Review**

The Swiss Institute of Comparative Law will hold a conference on the Brussels I Review and its impact on the Lugano Convention on April 8th at the Institute in Lausanne.

The full programme can be downloaded [here](#), and the registration document is available [here](#).

---

## **UAM Conference on EU Law. Call**

# for Papers

The UAM (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid) Faculty of Law will host the 1st *UAM International Conference on EU Law. Recent trends in the case law of the Court of Justice of the EU* (2008-2011) the 14-15 July 2011. This Conference is meant to be a forum for the critical analysis of the most recent ECJ case law. The programme includes two plenary lectures and eight specialized panels, one of them devoted to judicial cooperation in civil matters. Informants for the panel will be selected on the basis of proposals and abstract submitted in response to a Call for Papers.

The deadline for the call for papers is 10th April 2011.

For more information see [here](#)

---

## Antisuit Injunctions

My colleague Roger Alford has an excellent post up at *Opinio Juris* regarding the recent comings and goings in the Chevron Ecuador Litigation. See [here](#) for more.

---

## Fourth Issue of 2010's *Revue Critique de Droit International Privé*

The last issue of the *Revue critique de droit international privé* was just released. It contains two articles and several casenotes. The full table of content can be found [here](#).



In the first article, Dr. Marius Kohler and Dr. Markus Buschbaum discuss the concept of recognition of authentic instruments in the context of cross-border successions (*La « reconnaissance » des actes authentiques prévue pour les successions transfrontalières. Réflexions critiques sur une approche douteuse entamée dans l'harmonisation des règles de conflits de lois*). The English abstract reads:

*However advantageous the introduction of a European inheritance certificate may be, as envisaged by the Commission's proposed Regulation on international successions, it is in its current form likely to create friction because of the way in which it organises the relationship with national inheritance certificates. It would therefore be wise to restrict the use of the European certificate to international successions, where it could then be drafted on basis of the national one, and to limit its effects to the Member States of destination. Moreover, as far as the free circulation of authentic instruments in general is concerned, the Regulation raises serious misgivings as to the use made by the proposal of the concept of mutual recognition. It appears that this concept – appropriate as it is for judicial decisions – is unsuitable to promote the circulation of authentic instruments.*

In the second article, Professor Malik Laazouzi, who teaches at St Etienne University, discusses the impact of the recent *Inserm* decision of the French *Tribunal des conflits* (a translation of which can be found [here](#)) on choice of law in administrative contracts (*L'impérativité, l'arbitrage international des contrats administratifs et le conflit de lois. A propos de l'arrêt du Tribunal des conflits du 17 mai 2010, Inserm c/ Fondation Saugstad*). I am grateful to the author for providing the following summary:

*The Inserm case deals primarily with international arbitration issues. But the way of reasoning used to decide the case could also interfere with the handling of public law matters involving French public entities in private international law by French jurisdictions.*

*How did the issue occur ?*

*A French public law entity (Inserm) entered into a contract with a Norwegian Fondation (Letten F. Sugstad) in order, inter alia, to achieve the implementation of a research facility in France, including a construction project. An arbitration occurred to decide over the termination of the agreement by the Fondation. The arbitral award, rendered in France, dismissed Inserm's claims. The French entity then applied to set aside the award simultaneously before french civil and administrative courts. To assert the jurisdiction of the letter, Insermargued that the dispute arose out of a French administrative contract.*

*The case has given rise to the intricate issue of allocation of jurisdiction between civil and administrative courts. As a matter of consequence, it has been brought before the Tribunal des conflits.*

*The question which the Tribunal des conflits had to solve is complicated to enunciate. Which one of the French civil or administrative courts have jurisdiction to set aside an international arbitral award rendered in France, in a dispute arisen out of the performance or termination of a contract to be performed on the French territory and entered into between a French public law entity and a foreign individual or entity ?*

*The Tribunal des conflits decided, on 17 may 2010, that the application to set aside the award in such a case is to be brought before civil courts, even if the contract is an administrative one under French law. This solution allows an exception when the contract entered into by a french public entity is governed by a mandatory administrative regime. In this particular case, administrative courts retain jurisdiction to decide over challenges to the arbitral award.*

*This decision is strictly limited to some international arbitration matters involving a contract entered into by a french public entity. When it is not the case - i.e. when no french public entity is involved - French administrative courts does not intervene at all.*

*This case is worth mentioning within the field of private international law. The distinction it introduces between mandatory and non mandatory administrative rules in the international arena could reshape the very idea of the split in methods to solve conflict of laws issues according to the public or private law*

# Jurisdiction in Cross-Border Libel Cases

The Court of Appeal for Ontario has released *Paulsson v. Cooper*, 2011 ONCA 150 (available [here](#)). The plaintiff, an academic and author resident in Ontario, sued the defendants for publishing an allegedly libellous review of his book. The defendant publisher was incorporated in New York and had its national office in Massachusetts. The reviewer was an Australian academic.

The motions judge had held that Ontario lacked jurisdiction, but the Court of Appeal held that Ontario had jurisdiction and that no other forum was more appropriate for the resolution of the dispute. The court found that there was a “real and substantial connection” to Ontario. The court applied the orthodox analysis that the tort of libel was committed where the statement was read, and so had happened in Ontario. In addition, the place of the damage was Ontario since that was where the plaintiff’s reputation was located.

The case was perhaps easier than some other recent cases. The plaintiff’s connection to Ontario was quite strong on the facts; he was not a “libel tourist” who had sought out an advantageous forum. The publication was not over the internet, which raises greater complexity, but rather in printed form. The publisher had circulated 3528 copies, of which 81 were circulated in Ontario. Several of those 81 copies had ended up in academic or public-access libraries.

The court agreed with a key quotation from *Barrick Gold Corp. v. Blanchard and Co.* (2003), 9 B.L.R. (4th) 316 (Ont. S.C.J.): “If a person issues a statement and places that statement in a normal distribution channel designed for media attention and publication, a person ought to assume the burden of defending those statements, wherever they may damage the reputation of the target of those statements and thereby cause the target harm, as long as that harm occurred in a

place that the originator of the statements ought reasonably to have had in his, her or its contemplation when the statements were issued.”

As noted in an earlier post on this forum, many of these issues are being heard by the Supreme Court of Canada later this month in four other cases being appealed from the Court of Appeal for Ontario.

---

# **Arbitration Academy: Summer Courses 2011**

An International Academy for Arbitration Law will be launched in Paris in July 2011.

The Academy is an initiative of the French Arbitration Committee (Comité Français de l'Arbitrage (CFA)) and is presided by Professor Emmanuel Gaillard. The Board of Directors is composed of the Academy's President, Alexandre Hory and Yas Banifatemi as co- Secretary Generals, Jean-Georges Betto as Treasurer, Professor Marie- Elodie Ancel and Professor Jean-Baptiste Racine as members of the Selection Committee, and Maitre Philippe Leboulanger as Chair of the CFA. The Academy also has a Board of Advisors which includes Professor George Abi-Saab (Egypt), Professor Liza Chen (China), Professor Eros Grau (Brazil), Professor Horacio Grigera Naon (Argentina), Judge Gilbert Guillaume (France), Professor Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler (Switzerland), Professor Alexander Komarov (Russian Federation), Professor Pierre Mayer (France), Professor Michael Reisman (USA), Professor Dorothé Sossa (Benin), Professor Christoph Schreuer (Austria), and V.V. Veeder QC (UK).

The Academy will offer three-week Summer Courses to students and young practitioners interested in the field, covering both international commercial arbitration and international investment arbitration. The Summer Courses will be given in Paris from 4 July to 22 July 2011, and will be offered in English. They will include a General Course, Special Courses, Workshops on institutional arbitration, an Inaugural Lecture and The Berthold Goldman Lecture on historic

arbitration stories.

For the first Session of the Academy in 2011, the General Course will be taught by Professor Christoph Schreuer. The Special Courses will be taught by Professor George Bermann, Professor Pierre- Marie Dupuy, Professor Diego Fernandez Arroyo, Professor François Knoepfler, Professor Pierre Mayer, Dr. Klaus Sachs, and Maître Michael Schneider. The 2011 Workshops will be offered by ICSID, ICC , and the PCA. The Inaugural Lecture will be delivered by Professor Pierre Lalive on the topic “Is Arbitration a Form of International Justice?”. The Berthold Goldman Lecture on historic arbitration stories will be given by V.V. Veeder QC on the Lena Goldfield arbitration.

Interested students and young practitioners are invited to apply to the Academy by April 30, 2011. The Application Form and the complete Program can be viewed on the Academy’s Website at [www.arbitrationacademy.org](http://www.arbitrationacademy.org).

*Many thanks to Marie-Élodie Ancel.*