

Brand on Rome I and Party Autonomy


Ronald Brand, who is a professor at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law, has posted Rome I's Rules on Party Autonomy for Choice of Law: A U.S. Perspective on SSRN.

This chapter was presented at a conference in Dublin on the (then) new Rome I Regulation of the European Union in the fall of 2009. It contrasts the Rome I rules on party autonomy with those in the United States. In particular, it considers the rules in the Rome I Regulation that ostensibly protect consumers by discouraging party agreement on a pre-dispute basis to the law governing a consumer contract. These rules are compared with the absence of private international law restrictions on choice of forum and choice of law in the United States, even in consumer contracts. The result in Europe is the "protection" of the right of the consumer to his or her home law, but often with the resulting reduction of consumer choice and increase of consumer cost. In the United States, cases have instead provided more of an economic analysis, often tying a consumer to the merchant's choice of law (and choice of forum), but resulting in increased access to goods and services at what is generally a lower cost. Both systems "protect" consumers, they just choose to protect different consumer interests.

Ecuador Court Upholds Ruling against Chevron

See these posts [here](#) and [here](#) over at *Opiniojuris*.

Unidroit Seeks to Recruit its Deputy Secretary-General

UNIDROIT is inviting applications for the position of Deputy Secretary-General of the Organization. The position is for two years, renewable for periods of five years. 


The ideal candidate is an outstanding lawyer who, in addition to a distinguished career in his/her field (governmental, academic, intergovernmental or other), has a solid knowledge of comparative private law, commercial and private international law and experience in international negotiations or domestic law reform projects.

A national of a member State of UNIDROIT, he (or she) is a good communicator with excellent interpersonal relations skills, judgment and discretion who brings strong organisational, planning, analytical and drafting skills to support the Secretary General in representing UNIDROIT and managing a small team of professionals and technical support staff. Other essential qualifications include sensitivity to a multicultural environment; ability to work under pressure; knowledge and experience in strategic planning, management and promotion; as well as proficiency in using computer systems and standard office software.

The deadline for applications is March 12th, 2012, for an entry on duty no later than September 2012.

More information is available [here](#).

Third Edition of Niboyet & La Pradelle's Droit international privé

The third edition of the manual of Marie-Laure Niboyet and Géraud Geouffre de la Pradelle (both professors at Paris Ouest Nanterre La Défense University) on the French conflict of laws was published earlier this fall. 

In the French tradition, the book covers not only choice of law, jurisdiction and judgments, but also the law of citizenship and emmigration.

It is an excellent book. Marie-Laure Niboyet being one of the finest French scholars of international civil procedure, the book is especially comprehensive in this respect, discussing topics often neglected by many other books.

More information on the book can be found [here](#).

Franzina on Negrepontis v. Greece

Pietro Franzina (University of Ferrara) has published Some Remarks on the Relevance of Article 8 of the ECHR to the Recognition of Family Status Judicially Created Abroad in the last issue of the Italian journal *Diritti umani e diritto internazionale*.

The paper is a note discussing the implications of the recent judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in *Negrepontis v. Greece* where the court held that Greece had violated Article 8 by denying recognition to an adoption order issued by a Michigan court.

The note is also available on the website of the Italian society for international law.

SSRN Max Planck Research Paper Series, Vol. 1, No. 4 (2011)

The latest issue of the Max Planck Institute for Comparative & International Private Law Research Paper Series was released on December 20, 2011. The papers are available on SSRN. The table of contents reads as follows:

Shoot-Out Clauses in Partnerships and Close Corporations - An Approach from Comparative Law and Economic Theory

Holger Fleischer, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Stephan Schneider, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law

forthcoming in: European Company and Financial Law Review 2012

This article analyses shoot-out clauses as a popular means of resolving deadlocks in two member partnerships or close corporations. It presents the different varieties of shoot-out clauses developed in Anglo-American legal practice that are being increasingly discussed on the European continent. It goes on to look at their advantages and disadvantages by exploring the rich economic literature on partnership dissolution mechanisms in game theory. Finally, it focuses on the permissibility of these clauses and the doubts cast upon them in Germany, Austria, England and the United States.

Challenges for the European Law Institute

Reinhard Zimmermann, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law

forthcoming in: Edinburgh Law Review 2012

This is the text of a speech given on the occasion of the Inaugural Congress of the European Law Institute in Paris on 1 June 2011. It attempts to familiarize the audience with essential features of that Institute and it does so by highlighting a number of specific challenges facing the Institute. These

challenges arise, inter alia, from the Institute's ambition to be comprehensive, as far as legal professions, legal disciplines, and legal traditions are concerned. Specific attention is devoted to the notion of legal tradition(s) and the relationship between law and language. Finally, the position of the European Law Institute vis-à-vis other existing "networks" and organizations, the official organs of the European Union, and other organizations, worldwide, aiming at the harmonization of law, is highlighted. Throughout the speech, reference is made to the American Law Institute and the question is asked to what extent it can serve as a model for the European Law Institute.

Testamentary Formalities in Historical and Comparative Perspective

Reinhard Zimmermann, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Kenneth Reid, University of Edinburgh - School of Law, Marius Johannes De Waal, affiliation not provided to SSRN

also published in: TESTAMENTARY FORMALITIES, COMPARATIVE SUCCESSION LAW, Vol. 1, pp. 432-471, Kenneth G.C. Reid, Marius J. de Waal and Reinhard Zimmermann, eds., Oxford University Press, October 1, 2011

This essay is the concluding chapter of a project analysing testamentary formalities in historical and comparative perspective. It provides an assessment of the overall development of the law in the countries surveyed, as well as some wider reflections on the nature and purpose of testamentary formalities. More specifically, the essay focuses on the salient features of holograph wills, witnessed wills, public wills, and special wills; it analyses shared features (such as the requirements of the testator's signature, witnesses, date, unitas actus, incorporation of formal documents, wills by disabled persons); and it discusses the steady shift away from strict formalism which is a significant theme in many legal systems.

Europäisches Privatrecht - Irrungen, Wirrungen (European Private Law - Delusions, Confusions)

Reinhard Zimmermann, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law

also published in: Begegnungen im Recht: Ringvorlesung der Bucerius Law School zu Ehren von Karsten Schmidt anlässlich seines 70. Geburtstags, Mohr Siebeck, pp. 321-350, 2011

This essay critically examines the way in which European private law has developed over the past ten years. It emphasizes that we now have six sets of model rules which have not yet been subjected to critical and comparative scrutiny. None the less, a new Group is busy drafting yet another text which is to obtain an authoritative status. The new Group is working under the same pressure of time that has bedevilled the drafts of the DCFR and the PCC. As far as consumer contract law is concerned, we have about the same number of textual layers. In addition, we seem to have two projects, running side by side. However, neither of them is based on a proper and critical revision of the *acquis communautaire*. The essay also draws attention to a number of other peculiarities in both the arguments advanced by official actors and the processes chosen by them. And it expresses the hope that the establishment of a European Law Institute may help to avoid the present delusions and confusions.

Die Regelung der Willensmängel im Vorschlag für eine Verordnung über ein Gemeinsames Europäisches Kaufrecht (Defects in Consent in the Proposal for a Regulation on a Common European Sales Law)

Sebastian A.E. Martens, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law

forthcoming in: Archiv für die civilistische Praxis

This article provides an in-depth analysis of Chapter 5 ‘Defects in consent’ of the optional Common European Sales Law that was proposed by the Commission 11th October 2011. The provisions of this chapter are put into perspective, and the author takes account of the developments of each norm from the PECL to the DCFR and the feasibility study of the Expert Group that was published in May 2011. Each provision is commented upon and, where necessary, detailed suggestions for changes are made. If, but only if, these suggestions are taken up, Chapter 5 of the optional Common European Sales Law will generally be in line with the modern development in the European legal systems and a wide consensus amongst legal scholars in Europe. In the present state, Chapter 5 could not yet serve as part of an acceptable Common European Sales Law.

Das neue Internationale Privatrecht der Volksrepublik China: Nach den Steinen tastend den Fluss überqueren (The New Private International Law)

of the People's Republic of China: Crossing the River by Feeling the Stones)

Knut Benjamin Pissler, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law

forthcoming in: Rabels Zeitschrift für Ausländisches und Internationales Privatrecht

On October 28, 2010, the “Law of the Application of Law for Foreign-related Civil Relations” was promulgated in the People's Republic of China. The law aims to consolidate the Chinese conflict of laws regime and signals a new step towards a comprehensive codification of civil law in China.

The promulgated law emphasizes party autonomy and the closest connection as general principles. The law furthermore replaces nationality with habitual residence as the principal connecting factor for personal matters in Chinese private international law. However, some lacunas remain and new questions arise from the law. The legislative gaps concern the form of legal acts, the maintenance duties after divorce as well as the assignment and transfer of rights and duties in general. New questions arise from the provisions in the law establishing alternative connecting factors. Regarding the free choice of law with regard to rights in movable property provided by the law, it is additionally questionable how the rights of third parties are protected where they are not aware of such a choice of law. The decision of the legislator to exclude renvoi will force Chinese courts to apply foreign law even if the foreign private international private law refers back to Chinese law.

Symposium on the Proposed Common European Sales Law

On Friday, 20 January 2012, the German Notary Institute, the University of Würzburg and the Notary Institute at the University of Würzburg will host an academic Symposium on the Proposed Common European Sales Law. More

information is available on the German Notary Institute's website. Registration is online. The programme reads as follows:

09.00 Uhr Kaffee und Gebäck (Coffee and Pastries)

09.20 Uhr Begrüßung (Welcome Address), Prof. Dr. Oliver Remien, University of Würzburg, Tagungsleiter

Vormittagsblock (Morning Session)

09.30 Uhr Einführung (Introduction), Prof. Dr. Dirk Staudenmayer, European Commission, General Directorate Justice

09.40Uhr An assessment of the proposed Regulation on a Common European Sales Law, Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. mult. Ole Lando, Copenhagen Business School

10.10Uhr Der räumlich-persönliche Anwendungsbereich des Gemeinsamen Europäischen Kaufrechts (The personal and territorial scope of application of the Common European Sales Law), Prof. Dr. Stefan Leible, University of Bayreuth

10.30 Uhr Anwendungsbereich: Vertragsparteien und Vertragsgegenstand (Scope of application: parties to the contract and object of the contract), Prof. Dr. Thomas Pfeiffer, LL.M., University of Heidelberg

10.50 Uhr Diskussion (Discussion)

11.20 Uhr Kaffeepause (Coffee break)

11.45 Uhr Das Gemeinsame Europäische Kaufrecht – eine sinnvolle Option für B2B-Geschäfte? (The Common European Sales Law – a rational choice for B2B-transactions?), Prof. Dr. Thomas Ackermann, LL.M., University of Munich

12.05 Uhr EU-Kompetenz, Funktionsbedingungen und Perspektiven (EU-Competence, conditions for performance and perspectives), Prof. Dr. Hans Christoph Grigoleit, LL.M., University of Munich

12.25 Uhr Diskussion (Discussion)

13.00 Uhr Mittagessen (Lunch)

Nachmittagsblock (Afternoon Session)

14.30 Uhr Vertragsbegriff und Vertragsabschluss , einschließlich AGB-Problemen (Concept of contract and contract formation, including problems of general business terms), Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Ernst, LL.M., University of Zurich

14.50 Uhr Informationspflichten des Unternehmers und Widerrufsrechte des Verbrauchers (The professional's duties of information and the consumer's right of revocation), Prof. Dr. Dirk Looschelders, University of Düsseldorf

15.10 Uhr Diskussion (Discussion)

15.40 Uhr Kaffeepause (Coffee break)

16.10 Uhr Der Verordnungsentwurf und die Problematik seiner Lücken (The proposal for a regulation and the problems of its gaps), Prof. Dr. Beate Gsell, maître en droit (Aix-en-Provence), University of Munich

16.30 Uhr Leistungsstörungenrecht (Law of non-performance), Prof. Dr. Florian Faust, LL.M., Bucerius Law School, Hamburg

16.50 Uhr Schadensersatz und Rückabwicklung (Damages and restitution), Prof. Dr. Christiane Wendehorst, LL.M., University of Vienna

17.10 Uhr Diskussion (Discussion)

17.45 Uhr Schlusswort (Closing Remarks)

Addresses to the French PIL Committee, 2008-2010

The collection of the addresses to the French Private International Law Committee (*Comité français de droit international privé*) during academic years 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 was published earlier this fall.



The committee is addressed by four speakers each year, typically two young French academics, one practitioner and one foreign academic. The publication includes not only the paper of the speaker, but also the debate which followed (all in French).

The last volume addressed the following topics:

- Fiducie-sûreté et conflit de lois (Dammann)
- Les développements procéduraux récents de l'espace judiciaire européen : la naissance d'un ordre processuel interétatique (Jeuland)
- La connexité internationale (Lemaire)
- La résidence habituelle, un critère de rattachement en quête de son identité : perspectives de common law (McEleavy)
- Les effets en France des actions de groupe étrangères (Seraglini)
- La détermination de la loi applicable au contrat de travail par la chambre sociale de la Cour de cassation (Chagny)
- La protection internationale des sous-traitants (M.E. Ancel)
- Quelle protection pour les héritiers réservataires sous l'empire du futur règlement européen ? (Bonomi)

More details on the volume can be found [here](#).

Addresses to the French PIL Committee, 2006-2008

The previous volume of the collection of the addresses to the French Private International Law Committee (*Comité français de droit international privé*) covers academic years 2006-2007 and 2007-2008.


The addresses discussed the following topics:

- Réflexions sur le rattachement des immeubles en droit international privé (Thierry Vignal)

- De quelques difficultés posées en droit français par la mise en oeuvre de la compétence répressive universelle (Renée Koering-Joulin)
- Le renouveau de la théorie des droits acquis (Etienne Pataut)
- La loi applicable à défaut de choix par les parties selon l'article 4 de la proposition de règlement Rome I (Franco Ferrari)
- L'ordre public de rattachement (Petra Hammje)
- La liberté de choix dans les instruments communautaires récents : Rome I et Rome II - L'autonomie de la volonté entre intérêt privé et intérêt général (Claudia Hahn)
- L'ordre public international à l'épreuve du relativisme des valeurs (Léna Gannagé)
- Le droit international privé espagnol aujourd'hui ou le dépassement des paradigmes (José Carlos Fernandez Rozas)

The first few pages of each paper can be freely downloaded [here](#).

Publication of Michael Bogdan's Hague Lecture

The latest volume in the pocket book series of the Hague Academy of International Law is the General Course given by Michael Bogdan on Private International Law as Component of the Law of the Forum. 

Michael Bogdan is Professor of Comparative and Private International Law in the Law Faculty of the University of Lund. He is member, and former President, of GEDIP (Groupe européen de droit international privé). He is also member of the International Academy of Comparative Law and associated member of the Institut de droit international.

In spite of the undoubtedly great and rising importance of the international legislative co-operation regarding private international law, it must be remembered that no successful unification or harmonization of conflict rules has ever taken place on the universal level, and that the conflict rules stemming

from international legislative co-operation between a limited number of countries give rise to the same problems as non-harmonized rules, whenever they have to be used in relation to countries not participating in the legislative co-operation in question. This book will therefore focus on the last-mentioned problems and refrain from dealing with the particular issues arising from international legislative co-operation in the field of private international law. One of the principal aims of Michael Bogdan is to demonstrate the relationship between the national rules of private international law and the rest of the legal system of the forum country, in the first place its substantive private law and its law of civil procedure, as well as to illustrate the impact of the forum country's general ethical and other values on its private international law.

More information on the book can be found [here](#).