BIICL Event: The UK's Rejection of the New EU Regulation on International Successions

The EU has adopted a new Regulation on international successions (Regulation (EU) No 650/2012). In short, its main features are the following: It provides for court competence of the courts of the Member State in which the deceased had his habitual residence in the moment of death and declares the law of that Member State applicable to the succession as a whole. The Regulation also provides for a limited choice of the law of the deceased's nationality. In that event, an alignment of court competence and applicable law can be reached through specific mechanisms. The cross-border circulation of authentic instruments is simplified and a European Certificate of Succession newly introduced.

Although the UK is not taking part in the adoption of the Regulation, there are scenarios in which UK citizens moving abroad or possessing property abroad might be affected by the Regulation. This can give raise to a difficult interplay of the Regulation with the private international law provisions in the UK.

Speakers from the continent and the UK will present the Regulation and its main advantages and shortcomings. They will then focus on the difficulties which arise in a cross border context involving UK citizens and discuss the need for law reform.

Participants:

Robert Bray, European Parliament
Professor Andrea Bonomi, University of Lausanne
Dr Anatol Dutta, Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Hamburg
Professor Jonathan Harris, King's College; Serle Court, London
Richard Frimston, Partner, Russell Cooke, London
Oliver Parker, Ministry of Justice, London
Representative of Notaries of Europe (CNEU), Brussels

Venue:

British Institute of International and Comparative Law, Charles Clore House, 17 Russell Square,
London, WC1B 5JP

Date:

Thursday 8 November 2012, 14:00 to 18:30

Hague Academy, Summer Programme for 2013

Private International Law

Inaugural Lecture

29 July
Transnational Commercial Law and Conflict of Laws: Institutional Co-operation and Substantive Complementarity
Herbert KRONKE, Professor at Heidelberg University

General Course

5-16 August
Le rôle du politique en droit international privé
Patrick KINSCH, Lawyer, Visiting Professor at the University of Luxemburg

Special Courses

29 July-2 August
Conflict among Enforcement Regimes in International Economic Law
Hannah BUXBAUM, John E. Schiller Chair in Legal Ethics, Indiana University

Efficiency in Private International Law
Toshiyuki KONO, Professor at Kyushu University

Le statut juridique des standards publics et privés dans les relations économiques internationales
Jan WOUTERS, Professor at the University of Leuven

5-9 August
"Trusts" in Private International Law
David John HAYTON, Judge at the Caribbean Court of Justice

Les méthodes du droit international privé à l'épreuve du droit du travail
Étienne PATAUT, Professor at Sorbonne Law School, Paris I University

12-16 August
International Commercial Arbitration, a Comparative Approach with Special Focus on Russia
Alexey KOSTIN, Head of the Private International Law Department, Moscow State Institute of International Relations

Protection internationale des droits de l'homme et activités des sociétés transnationales
Fabrizio MARRELLA, Professor at the University of Venice

More information is available here.

As for Shell…

Four Nigerian farmers, aided by the Dutch branch of Friends of the Earth, have managed to prosecute the multinational Shell for polluting the Niger Delta between 2004 and 2007. Today the case has been declared admissible by a civil court in The Hague, i.e., in a different country and continent to the alleged dumping, and could set a legal precedent. If the Dutch court indeed holds Shell responsible for not (properly) cleaning up oil pollution in Nigeria, the Anglo-Dutch company would face paying millions in compensation for victims; it should also

heighten their safety standards abroad to macht those applied in Europe. What's more, the door to more transnational legal cases would be open. Victims of violations of environmental standards and human rights perpetrated by Western multinationals would be expected to seek satisfaction through a civil court in the Netherlands and possibly in other EU counties as well.

Latest on the Ecuador/Chevron Lawsuit

Two days ago, the US supreme court denied a bid by Chevron to block the $19bn Ecuadorian judgment issued in February 2011 against the company in a pollution case.

The case is *Chevron Corp v. Naranjo et al*, U.S. Supreme Court, No. 11-1428 (here)

Liber Amicorum for Athanassios Kaissis

A Liber Amicorum was published earlier this year to celebrate the 65th birthday of Athanassios Kaissis, who is a professor at the Law Faculty of Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

It includes the following contributions.

Konsolidierung des Europäischen Zivilverfahrensrechts

Jens Adolphsen

Das Anti-Counter-Feiting Trade Agreement vom 3.12.2010 – Zivilrechtliche Maßnahmen und deren Durchsetzung
Hans-Jürgen Ahrens

Unvereinbare Entscheidungen, drohende Rechtsverwirrung und Zweifel an der Kernpunkttheorie – Webfehler im Kommissionsvorschlag für eine Neufassung der Brüssel I-VO?
Christoph Althammer

Der österreichische Zivilprozess – bemerkenswerte Schwerpunkte der Reformen im neuen Jahrtausend
Oskar J. Ballon

Gibt es ein europäisches Rechtsschutzbedürfnis?
David-Christoph Bittmann

Der amicus curiae und die alten Formen der Beteiligung Dritter am Rechtsstreit. Neue Tendenzen nach brasilianischem Recht
Antonio Cabral

Die tödliche Verletzung im Deliktsrecht
Michael Coester

Der Erfüllungsort im internationalen Zivilprozessrecht
Dagmar Coester-Waltjen

Das neue schweizerische Arrestrecht – ausgewählte Probleme
Tanja Domej

Die Europäisierung des internationalen Zuständigkeitsrechts in Gütersachen
Anatol Dutta / Frauke Wedemann

Der Anspruch auf Rückforderung unbegründeter Zahlungen bei der Bankgarantie auf erstes Anfordern
Dietmar Ehrlich

Ausgewählte praxisrelevante Fragen in deutsch-algerischen Erbrechtsfällen
Omaia Elwan

Internationale Notzuständigkeit im polnischen Internationalen und Europäischen Zivilverfahrensrecht
Tadeusz Ereci?ski / Karol Weitz

Bruchstellen des internationalen Haftungsrechts in Europa bei vertragsnahen Pflichtverletzungen
Hilmar Fenge

Zum Begriff des gewöhnlichen Arbeitsortes i.S.d. Art. 19 Abs. 2 lit. a EuGVVO insb. bei der Verrichtung der arbeitsvertraglichen Tätigkeit an Bord eines Schiffes
Thomas Garber

Zur geplanten Reform des Gerichtsvollzieherwesens in Deutschland
Hans Friedhelm Gaul

Gerichtsstandsvereinbarung und Pflichtverletzung
Martin Gebauer

Europaweite Beachtlichkeit ausländischer Urteile zur internationalen Unzuständigkeit?
Reinhold Geimer

Der Streitgegenstand – eine Einheit in Vielfalt
Peter Gottwald

Vertraulichkeit im Zusammenhang mit Schiedsverfahren
Ulrich Haas

Juristisches Strukturdenken bei Goethe
Fritjof Haft

The notarial order for payment procedure as a Hungarian peculiarity
Viktória Harsági

Grundlagen der internationalen Notzuständigkeit im Europäischen Zivilverfahrensrecht
Wolfgang Hau

Reviewing Foreign Judgments in American Practice – Conclusiveness, Public

Policy, and Révision au fond –
Peter Hay

Materieller Anspruch und Rechtshängigkeitssperre nach Art. 27 EuGVVO
Bettina Heiderhoff

Der Vorschlag der EU-Kommission zur vorläufigen Kontenpfändung – ein weiterer Integrationsschritt im Europäischen Zivilverfahrensrecht
Burkhard Hess

Koordinierung europäischer Zivilprozessrechtsinstrumente
Stefan Huber

Beschaffenheitsvereinbarung und Haftungsausschluss beim Kunstkauf – unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Falschlieferung
Erik Jayme

Der Gerichtsstand des Erfüllungsortes nach der Brüssel I-Verordnung im Licht der neueren EuGH-Rechtsprechung
Abbo Junker

Wer bestimmt das Honorar des Schiedsrichters?
Franz Kellerhals / Stefanie Pfisterer

Ungarn innerhalb des Tors des Lugano-Übereinkommens
Miklós Kengyel

"Cherry Picking" and Good Faith in German Arbitration Law: Two Recent Decisions on the Most-Favoured Treatment Clause (Article VII Para 1 NYC))
Peter Kindler

L'Arbitrage des Différends Relatifs aux Investissements en Afrique Francophone au Sud du Sahara: L'OHADA et le CIRDI
Rolf Knieper

Prozesskostenhilfe im internationalen Zivilverfahrensrecht – Grundlagen und aktuelle Probleme
Oliver L. Knöfel

Even if you steal it, it would be admissible – Rechtswidrig erlangte Beweismittel

im Zivilprozess
Georg E. Kodek

Acceptable Transnational Anti-suit Injunctions
Herbert Kronke

Die Einrede vorprozessualer Verjährung als erledigendes Ereignis
Walter F. Lindacher

Das deutsche Bankgeheimnis im Steuerverfahren – Schutz der Bürger oder nur noch „Feigenblatt"? –
Karl-Georg Loritz

A patent court for Europe – status and prospects
Raimund Lutz & Stefan Luginbuehl

Kunstrecht als Disziplin – Stand, Inhalte, Methoden, Entwicklungen –
Peter M. Lynen

Zur Regelung von Sprachfragen im europäischen Internationalen Zivilverfahrensrecht
Peter Mankowski

Partei- und Anspruchsidentität im Sinne des Art. 27 Abs. 1 EuGVVO bei Mehrparteienprozessen: Ein Beitrag zur Konkretisierung des europäischen Streitgegenstandsbegriffs und der Kernbereichslehre
Heinz-Peter Mansel und Carl Friedrich Nordmeier

Schweizer Mahntitel und deren Behandlung unter dem revidierten LuganoÜbereinkommen und der EuGVVO
Alexander R. Markus

Priorität versus Flexibilität? Zur Weiterentwicklung der Verfahrenskoordination im Rahmen der EuGVO-Reform
Mary-Rose McGuire

Einstweiliger Rechtsschutz für Geldforderungen nach neuem schweizerischen Recht im Vergleich zum griechischen Recht
Isaak Meier und Sotirios Kotronis

Zulässigkeit einer Vereinbarung des Wiederverkaufs von Aktien zu einem Festpreis, um den Kreis der Aktionäre mit geringen Kosten zu beschränken?
Isamu Mori

Schiedsverfahren im Dreiländereck – Deutschland, Schweiz, Österreich –
Joachim Münch

Schiedsrichterbefangenheit und anwaltliche Versicherungsmandate
Thomas Pfeiffer

Bemerkungen zur Zusammenarbeit zwischen EuGH und Gerichten der EU-Staaten zum IPR, insbesondere in der Rechtssache C-29 / 10 Koelzsch gegen Luxemburg
Jörg Pirrung

Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung ohne Stammkapital und Einzelkaufmann mit (betrieblichem) Sondervermögen
Giuseppe B. Portale

Die Rolle des Anwalts bei der Rechtsfortbildung
Hanns Prütting

Zur Rechtsnatur der Anfechtung von Schiedssprüchen
Walter H. Rechberger

Schadenshaftung und erforderliche Vertragsanknüpfung bei Art. 15 EuGVO (LugÜ)
Herbert Roth

The Laws Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement
Helmut Rüßmann and Kinga Timár

Die prozessuale Behandlung von Honoraransprüchen freiberuflich Tätiger – Berechnung nach Arbeitszeit und dargestellt am Beispiel der Anwaltshonorierung
Peter F. Schlosser

Billigkeitsentscheidungen im internationalen Schiedsrecht auf der Grundlage von § 1051 Abs. 3 ZPO
Götz Schulze

Die Besetzung eines internationalen Schiedsgerichts und das anwendbare Recht
Rolf A. Schütze

Wann kommt in Ehesachen die EuEheKindVO, wann autonomes Recht zur Anwendung?
Daphne-Ariane Simotta

Der Beweiswert rechtgeschäftlicher Urkunden im Kollisionsrecht
Ulrich Spellenberg

Überlegungen zur Dogmatik des schiedsgerichtlichen Vergleichs und des Schiedsspruchs mit vereinbartem Wortlaut
Frank Spohnheimer

Kollektiver Rechtsschutz und Revision der Brüssel I-Verordnung
Astrid Stadler

Der Vertriebsort als Deliktsgerichtsstand für internationale Produkthaftungsklagen
Ben Steinbrück

Jurisdiction for Avoidance Claims of Insolvent Investment Undertakings – Procedural Aspects of the Phoenix Saga –
Michael Stürner

Mündlichkeit und Schriftlichkeit im europäischen Zivilprozess
Rolf Stürner

Das Europäische Mahnverfahren und dessen Umsetzung in den Niederlanden
Bartosz Sujecki

Die Bekämpfung der Torpedoklagen durch einen europäischen Rechtskrafteinwand
Miguel Teixeira de Sousa

Internationale Schiedsverfahren zwischen Effizienzanforderungen und zunehmender Komplexität
Roderich C. Thümmel

Persönlichkeitsrechtsverletzungen im Internet Internationale Zuständigkeit am

„Ort der Interessenkollision“?
Matthias Weller

Umsetzung der Zahlungsdienst-Richtlinie Nachteilige Auswirkungen für den Verbraucher
Friedrich Graf von Westphalen

Internationale Zuständigkeit und Anerkennung ausländischer Entscheidungen im chinesischen Insolvenzrecht
Mei Wu

More details can be found here.

Civil Litigation in a Globalising World

Xandra E. Kramer, Professor at Erasmus University Rotterdam, and *Remco van Rhee,* Professor at Maastricht University, have edited a book on “Civil Litigation in a Globalising World”. Published by T. M. C. Asser Press and distributed by Springer the book is available as ebook on SpringerLink.

More information, including a table of content, is available here. The official announcement reads as follows:

> *This book is an important contribution to the discussion about globalisation of civil procedure. Globalisation of legal matters and the inherent necessity of having to litigate in foreign courts or to enforce judgments in other countries considerably complicate civil proceedings due to great differences in civil procedure. This may jeopardise access to justice. As a result, the debate on the need for the harmonisation of civil procedure becomes ever more prominent. This book discusses the globalisation and harmonisation of civil procedure from various angles, including fundamental (international) principles of civil justice, legal history, private international law, law and economics and (European)*

policy. It offers important theoretical and practical perspectives and is valuable reading for, amongst others, academic researchers, policy makers, judges, legal practitioners and court bailiffs.

Spanish Decision on the Proof of Foreign Law

Many thanks to Nicolás Zambrana, Assistant professor. University of Navarra, Spain

A very recent decision issued by a Court of First Instance in Madrid presents a slightly new turn of the screw in the issue of the proof of foreign law before Spanish tribunals. The facts are as follows: in 1997, Mr X, of Moroccan nationality, died, leaving a widow (Ms Y) and several children. Mr X had married Ms Y in1973 in Madrid by the Jewish rite, which was at that time not recognised by Spanish law. In 1975 he had made a will in Madrid where he had declared that he was of Jewish faith and that Moroccan succession law referred to Jewish law for succession matters.

In his testament, he bequeaths a life interest on 80% of all his real estate property to his mother and siblings. He also names his son and daughter as his heirs concerning all of his property. Apparently, Mr X, the eldest son of a numerous family, had made a fortune in the real estate business inSpain, where he had moved fromMorocco, with money borrowed from his family.

The claimants, who are the siblings of the deceased and the children of one of the aforementioned siblings, had filed a claim before a Madrid tribunal and had requested the tribunal to apply Spanish law and thus declare that the testament gave them a right to a life interest on 80% of the real estate property of the deceased or an equivalent amount in money.

The respondents –the children of Mr X- answered the claim and requested the

tribunal to declare that the testament was null and void, in accordance with Jewish law and that, therefore, in accordance with Jewish law, too, the widow should receive half of the estate of the deceased and the rest should be divided among those children who were single, with the exception of the eldest son, who should receive a double portion than his siblings. Finally, it seems that Mr X had expressly forbidden all his heirs to resort to judicial means in case of a disagreement.

The claimants did not submit any evidence of foreign law. The respondents did request the tribunal, in a previous hearing, to call several witness-experts on Jewish law, including the Rabbinic Tribunal of Tangiers, all of which was refused by the judge because she claimed that, according to Spanish procedural law, they simply should have submitted to the tribunal an official translation of the foreign applicable law, which they had not done.

As it has been said, the claimants based their claim on Spanish law, which is why the Tribunal turned down all their petitions, given the fact that the applicability of the connecting factors is compulsory (art. 12.6 of the Spanish Civil Code). The Tribunal understood that, according to Spanish conflict of laws, the law applicable to succession is the "personal law of the deceased" (arts. 9.1 and 9.8 of the Spanish Civil Code). In this case, such law was Moroccan law. At no point did the Tribunal ask itself whether Moroccan law does in fact refer to Jewish law as the ultimately applicable law, but such issue is not a real problem because the claimants had simply denied that Moroccan law was applicable. Instead, they had had resort to Spanish law for the merits.

Spanish statutory law, as understood by Spanish case law (including cases decided by the Supreme Court), states that foreign law is to be considered as a fact and needs to be proved by the party that bases his or her claims on it. Spanish case law and doctrine seem not to agree as to the extent of the proof by the party that claims the applicability of the foreign law. For some scholars, only an initial proof is needed, after which the tribunal would have to check on its own the contents of the foreign law. For part of the case law examined, tribunals may or may not provide assistance to the parties in the task of proving the foreign law. Something on which there is consensus is the fact that the law to be alternatively applied, where the foreign law has not been sufficiently proved, is Spanish law. Nevertheless, the case described in this note is different, to the extent that the claimants simply fail to apply correctly the connecting factor that would have led

to the application of the foreign law. Therefore, the tribunal understands that the claims lack sufficient legal base and must be dismissed.

This is just one more example of the mine field that the application of foreign law may turn into. An appeal has been filed before the Provincial Court. We will keep you informed as regards the progress of the case

---

Canberra Calling

Australia has often been described as the "lucky country". Blessed with spectacular coastlines and landscapes as well as bountiful natural resources, Australia's international prominence has grown throughout the past century as her products and people have become increasingly mobile.

During this period, the development of private international law rules has been left, principally, to the Courts and to the legislatures of the States and Territories that make up the Commonwealth of Australia and the focus, until very recently, has been on the regulation of internal situations involving two or more States/Territories. As a result, private international law in Australia is an interesting, but erratic, patchwork of common law rules (e.g. law applicable to contract and tort), local legislation (e.g. jurisdiction over non-local defendants) and unified Commonwealth-level regimes (e.g. enforcement of some foreign judgments).

In 2011, the Standing Committee of Law and Justice (comprising the Attorneys-General of the Commonwealth Government and of each of the States and Territories, as well as the Minister of Justice of New Zealand) recognised the need to assess the suitability of Australia's private international law rules in modern conditions. In April 2012, the SCLJ agreed to the establishment of a working group to commence consultations with key stakeholders to determine whether further reform in this area would deliver worthwhile micro-economic benefits for the community.

Having established its working group, the Commonwealth Attorney-General has

now launched a public consultation on its newly created Private International Law website, and in parallel on Twitter (@agd_pil), Linked In (AGD – Private International Law) and on Facebook (Private International Law). Online discussions have been launched on jurisdiction, applicable law and other private international law issues and all contributions are welcomed. In particular, and without wishing to exclude the contributions of experts in the field, the organisers of the consultation would like to solicit the views of businesses and individuals with practical experience of the operation of the Australian rules which currently apply to cross-border transactions and events.

There is no need to hop on a plane – follow the link now.

Brussels I (Recast)

For the latest amendments of the European Parliament to the Commission's Proposal, dated 25 September 2012, click here.

International Child Abduction and the Importance of Speaking Catalan

Today's *Boletín Oficial del Estado* publishes Spain's acceptance of the accession of Andorra to the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction; it will enter into force on 1 November 2012. That's how I've learnt about Andorra's first reservation to the Convention:

> Reservation relating to article 24. In accordance with the provisions of article

> 42 and pursuant to article 24, second paragraph of the Convention, the Principality of Andorra declares that it will not accept the applications, communications and other documents sent to its Authority unless they are accompanied by a translation into Catalan or, where that is not feasible, a translation into French.

Which is quite easy to understand, Catalan being the official language there.

I must confess that before realising that I was stricken by the text. We are living a turbulent political moment in Spain.