

Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations	17
Introduction	25
I. Genesis of the Study	26
II. Methodology, scope and objective of the Study	26
III. Outline of the Study	27
1. Widening the scope of the Regulation: opening up for rescue culture (Part 1)	28
2. Coordination between main and secondary proceedings (Part 2)	28
2.1 Judicial cooperation: from soft law to innovative hard law	29
2.2 Synthetic proceedings: from practice to regulation	29
3. Group of companies: contractual flexibility versus procedural overregulation (Part 3)	30
IV. Distribution of responsibilities	31
Part 1: Scope of application	33
I. The scope of the Regulation	33
1. Legal framework	33
1.1 A new European approach to business failure and insolvency	33
1.2 Pre-insolvency and hybrid proceedings	36
1.2.1 Article 1(1) EIR	39
1.2.2 Proceedings ‘based on laws relating to insolvency for the purpose of rescue, adjustment of debt, reorganisation or liquidation’	40
1.2.3 ‘Public’	42
1.2.4 ‘Collective’	43
1.2.5 Which entail some kind of ‘interference’ upon the individual rights of the debtor and/or its creditors...	44

Table of Contents

1.2.6 ...and which may leave the debtor in possession	45
1.3 Territorial scope	46
2. Evaluation	47
2.1 Legal issues	47
2.1.1 The title of the EIR: is it still up-to-date?	47
2.1.2 The notion of insolvency (recital 17 EIR)	47
2.1.3 Scope of secondary proceedings	50
2.1.4 The COMI presumption for pre-insolvency proceedings	51
2.2 Practical problems	52
2.2.1 Pre-insolvency and hybrid proceedings before a ‘judgment opening insolvency proceedings’ is rendered	52
2.2.2 Article 34, 2nd s. EIR	55
2.2.3 The territorial scope	56
3. Theses and recommendations	61
II. The relationship between Article 1(1) of the Regulation (EU) No 2015/848 and Annex A	64
1. Legal framework	64
1.1 The framework under the EIR 2000	64
1.2 The proposals to amend the EIR 2000	66
1.3 The framework under the EIR	67
1.3.1 As to the nature of Annex A...	67
1.3.2 ...and as to the amendment of Annex A	68
2. Evaluation	68
2.1 Legal issues	68
2.1.1 The underlying policy	68
2.1.2 The role of Article 1(1) EIR	69
2.1.3 Amendments to Annex A	70
2.1.4 A tentative alternative interpretation	71
2.2 Practical problems	72
2.2.1 The shortcomings of ordinary legislative procedure	72
2.2.2 How to deal with the difficulty to amend Annex A	73
2.2.3 Two problematic cases	73
2.2.4 The ‘duty’ to notify new national procedures	74
3. Theses and recommendations	75

III. The boundary between the European Insolvency Regulation and the Brussels I ^{bis} Regulation	77
1. Legal framework	77
1.1 Introduction	77
1.2 Obstacles to the dovetailing	79
1.2.1 Pre-insolvency proceedings and hybrid proceedings	79
1.2.2 Annex A EIR	81
1.2.3 The notion of ‘insolvency-related’ actions	82
1.3 The EIR 2015	84
2. Evaluation	85
2.1 Legal issues	85
2.1.1 Setting-up the relationship between Brussels Ibis and the EIR	85
2.1.2 Loopholes	87
2.1.3 Overlaps	91
2.2 Practical problems	91
2.2.1 Circumvention of the scope of the EIR by putting insolvency rules in general company law	91
2.2.2 Recital 16 and insolvency-related actions	92
3. Theses and recommendations	92
IV. Insolvency-related proceedings	94
1. Legal framework	94
2. Evaluation: legal issues and practical problems	96
2.1 The notion of ‘insolvency-related’ actions	96
2.2 Recital 16 EIR and insolvency-related actions	99
2.3 Overlaps between the EIR and Brussels Ibis	100
2.4 Insolvency-related actions and secondary proceedings	101
2.5 Insolvency-related actions against third state defendants	102
3. Theses and recommendations	103
Part 2: Cooperation between main and secondary proceedings	106
I. Instruments to avoid or postpone secondary proceedings	106
1. Legal framework	106
1.1 Introduction	106

Table of Contents

1.2	The undertaking ('synthetic proceedings')	107
1.2.1	Procedural function and conflict of laws mechanism	107
1.2.2	Scope	110
1.2.2.1	Local assets and liabilities (scope <i>ratione materiae</i>)	110
1.2.2.2	Personal scope	112
1.2.2.3	Law referred to by an undertaking and third parties' rights in rem	112
1.2.3	Proposal and formal requirements	114
1.2.4	Approval	115
1.2.5	Effects	115
1.2.5.1	Direct effects of the undertaking as to the estate and the applicable law	115
1.2.5.2	Effects on the opening of secondary proceedings	116
1.2.5.3	Removal of local assets	116
1.2.6	Procedural safeguards	117
1.2.6.1	Remedies	117
1.2.6.2	Liability of an insolvency practitioner under Article 36(10) EIR	120
1.2.6.3	Information of creditors and publication	121
1.3	The stay of proceedings	121
2.	Evaluation	122
2.1	Legal issues	123
2.1.1	Article 36 EIR as a non-mandatory rule?	123
2.1.2	Deferred and concerted secondary proceedings as an alternative option	124
2.1.3	Approval of an undertaking	125
2.1.3.1	Approval by the known local creditors	125
2.1.3.2	Voidability and replacement of an approved undertaking	126
2.1.3.3	Approval by the creditors of the main proceedings?	128
2.1.3.4	Approval of an undertaking after the opening of secondary proceedings	129

Table of Contents

2.1.4	Undertaking and secondary proceedings	129
2.1.4.1	The start of time limit to request the opening of secondary proceedings	129
2.1.4.2	The court's criterion to reject the opening of secondary proceedings, Article 38(2) EIR	131
2.1.5	Undertaking and corporate group insolvencies	132
2.2	Practical problems	132
2.2.1	Criteria to be taken into account by an insolvency practitioner when giving an undertaking	132
2.2.2	Identification and information of local creditors / publication	132
3.	Theses and recommendations	134
3.1	Scope of undertakings	134
3.2	Giving of an undertaking	134
3.3	Assessing the adequacy and efficacy of Article 36 EIR	135
3.4	Identifying and informing (local) creditors	136
3.4.1	Creditors should be informed of...	136
3.4.2	Means of communication	136
3.4.3	Creditors' information on the (dis-)approval of the undertaking	136
3.5	The start of time limit to request the opening of secondary proceedings (Article 37(2) EIR)	137
3.6	Temporary stay of the opening of secondary proceedings	137
3.7	Implementing Regulation	137
II.	Cooperation, Communication, Coordination	139
1.	Introduction	139
2.	Legal framework	141
3.	Recommendations	142
3.1	To the European Commission	143
3.1.1	Introducing and explaining the rules	144
3.1.2	Raising awareness and promoting the use of soft law instruments	145
3.1.3	Spreading the knowledge about case law	147
3.2	To the national lawmaker	147
3.2.1	Taking stock	148

Table of Contents

3.2.2	Removing obstacles, paving the way	149
3.2.3	Valuable clarifications; pro-cooperation orientations	151
3.3	To the national interpreter and authorities applying the law	152
3.4	To the academia	155
III.	Protocols	156
1.	Introduction	156
2.	Legal and economic framework	157
2.1	‘Agreements or protocols’: scope of the analysis	157
2.2	Cooperation ‘not incompatible with the rules applicable to each of the proceedings’ – legal basis for the conclusion of agreements or protocols	158
2.3	Content of an insolvency protocol: derogation from the coordination rules of the EIR?	160
2.4	Insolvency protocols and protection of local creditors’ interests	162
2.5	Protocols and Article 36 undertakings: comparative advantages and disadvantages	163
3.	Recommendations and guidelines	167
3.1	Circumstances supporting the use of insolvency agreements or protocols	169
3.2	Negotiations	170
3.3	Authorization and parties to an insolvency agreement or protocol	171
3.4	Language of the insolvency agreement or protocol	172
3.5	Terminology and interpretative rules	173
3.6	Determining the purpose of the insolvency agreement or protocol	174
3.7	Issues to be addressed in insolvency agreements or protocols under the EIR	175
3.7.1	Communication	176
3.7.2	Preservation of the debtor’s assets	177
3.7.3	Notification of the debtor’s creditors	177
3.7.4	Lodgement of the creditors’ claims by insolvency practitioners	177
3.7.5	Verification of the debtor’s liabilities	178
3.7.6	Administration of the insolvency estate	178

Table of Contents

3.7.7 Preventing conflict of powers among insolvency practitioners	179
3.7.8 Distribution of the proceeds	179
3.7.9 Conflict-of-laws issues	180
3.7.10 Costs of the proceedings	181
3.8 Legal effects and effectiveness of insolvency agreements or protocols	182
3.9 Flexibility of insolvency agreements or protocols	182
3.10 Safeguards	183
3.11 Dispute resolution clauses	183
Part 3: Insolvencies of groups of companies	185
I. Introduction	185
II. Jurisdiction with respect to insolvencies of groups of companies	187
1. Determining the COMI of a member of a group of companies	187
1.1 Legal framework	187
1.2 Recommendations and guidelines	188
1.2.1 Recommendations	188
1.2.2 Guidelines	192
2. COMI migration	193
2.1 Legal framework	193
2.2 Evaluation	194
2.3 Recommendations and guidelines	195
2.3.1 Recommendations	195
2.3.2 Guideline	197
3. The definition of ‘group of companies’ in Article 2 EIR	197
3.1 Legal framework	197
3.2 Evaluation	199
3.3 Recommendations and guidelines	200
3.3.1 Recommendations	200
3.3.2 Guidelines	203
III. Coordination between insolvency proceedings relating to group members	205
1. Legal framework	205
2. Evaluation	207

Table of Contents

3. Recommendations and guidelines	208
3.1 Recommendations	208
3.2 Guidelines	212
IV. The new group coordination proceedings	214
1. Legal framework	214
2. Evaluation	217
3. Recommendations and guidelines	218
3.1 Recommendations	218
3.2 Guidelines	221
V. Conflict of laws	223
1. Legal framework	223
2. Recommendations and guidelines	224
2.1 Recommendations	224
2.2 Guideline	226
Annex: Expert Contributions	227
Annex I: Interpreting and amending Annex A to Regulation 848/2015	228
1. Insolvency proceedings which fall within the scope Annex A of Regulation 848/2015	228
2. Italian insolvency proceedings which fall within the scope of Regulation 848/2015	229
3. Italian proceedings which may be included or qualified as a sub-category of insolvency proceedings listed in Annex A	233
4. Does ‘convenzione di moratoria’ fall within the scope of insolvency proceedings as defined in Article 1(1) Regulation 848/2015?	235
5. Effects of a ‘convenzione di moratoria’ on foreign dissenting banks and financial institutions	237
Annex II: The system of insolvency registration in the EU Insolvency Regulation (Recast)	239
1. Introduction	239
2. Aim of interconnected insolvency registers	241
3. Part of existing effort in building EU-wide interconnection of national insolvency registers	242
4. Establishment of insolvency registers	243
5. Mandatory information	244

Table of Contents

6. Consumers	247
7. Legal effect of information in the registers	248
8. Interconnection of insolvency registers	249
9. Conditions of access to information via the system of interconnection	250
10. Conclusion	252
Annex III: Opening statement on the coordination and cooperation of main and secondary proceedings	253
1. Key features of the new law	253
2. Are secondary proceedings useful?	254
3. The undertaking (Articles 36 ff EIR)	255
4. The new law on cooperation and communication (Articles 41 ff EIR)	257
Annex IV: From ‘prisoner’s dilemma’ to reluctance to use judicial discretion: the enemies of cooperation in European cross-border cases – the situation of Italy, and beyond	259
1. Introduction	259
2. Article 31 EIR 2000, and its application in Italy	261
3. Taking lessons from the Illochroma and Eurofood cases: legal uncertainty produces ‘prisoner’s dilemmas’	266
4. Taking lessons from reluctance to conclude agreements and protocols: accepting that these forms of cooperation require courts and insolvency practitioners to make a choice between alternative rulings and activities	269
5. Explaining Articles 41-44 EIR within legal reasoning	272
5.1 Improvements aimed at specifying the logic of the duty of cooperation and information	274
5.2 Improvements aimed at specifying the limits of the duty of cooperation and information in terms of consistency with the system as a whole	276
6. Concluding remarks: from cooperating ‘in the shadow of soft-law’ to cooperating ‘in the shadow of hard-law’. Corollaries	276
7. Coda: Spontaneous comments on the relevant sections of the ‘Annotated Guidelines’	279
7.1 Article 36 EIR	279
7.2 Articles 41-44 EIR	282

Table of Contents

7.3 Protocols	283
Annex V: Opening statement on the new rules for the insolvency of groups of companies	284
Annex VI: Insolvency of corporate groups under the recast Insolvency Regulation: progress or reason for concern?	290
1. Introduction	290
2. The European Insolvency Regulation (2000): no provisions for groups	291
3. The practice since 2002: group centralizations	291
4. The case of Interdil (2011): adoption of central administration as a key connecting factor	293
5. The parliament proposals (2011): centralization as the primary solution	295
6. The recast Insolvency Regulation (2015): a shift to cooperation and coordination	296
7. Progress or concern?	297
8. Conclusion and a way forward	299
Bibliography	301
1. CJEU case-law	301
2. National case-law	301
3. Literature	304
4. Legal texts	318
5. Other	319