

Maccaba v Lichtenstein, and an article

- *Maccaba v Lichtenstein* [2006] EWHC 1901 (QB)

The court held that, for there to be an arbitration agreement, there had to be an agreement evidenced in writing between the two prospective parties to the arbitration. In the instant case, no such enforceable agreement as argued for by the applicant had been proved on the evidence placed before the court.

- D. Stringer, "Choice of Law and Choice of Forum in Brazilian International Commercial Contracts: Party Autonomy, International Jurisdiction, and the Emerging Third Way" (2006) 44 *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law* 951-999.